

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/968,208	11/12/1997	RUSSELL HIGUCHI	9397	8271
22896	7590 11/06/2002			
PATTI SELAN, PATENT ADMINISTRATOR APPLIED BIOSYSTEMS 850 LINCOLN CENTRE DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			SNAY, JEFFREY R	
FOSTER CIT	Y, CA 94404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	12
		DATE MAIL ED. 11/06/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A D					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	08/968,208	HIGUCHI, RUSSEI	_L				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MAII NO DATE 611	Jeffrey R. Snay	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	it with the correspondence add	iress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, m ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this connected the connected that the					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	August 2002 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	•	• •	e merits is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,31,35-40 and 44-47</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39,40 and 44-47</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30,31 and 35-38</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	•,,	•					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received	in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	Sureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	a)).	Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language present 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •						
Attachment(s)	-						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(see of Informal Patent Application (PTC)r:					

Application/Control Number: 08/968,208

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 30, 31 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The reasons for this rejection are as set forth in paragraph 8 of the last Office action. In response to this rejection, applicant argues in paper no. 42 that "[t]he claim need not include the vessel as a structural limitation for one skilled in the art to understand what is encompassed by the detector as claimed." Applicant then gives in the above noted paper an analogy to elucidate the reasons for traverse. The arguments are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's hypothetical analogy, it is agreed that the term "light source" would not be indefinite. However, the term "platform adapted to receive a microscope slide" would. In the instant claims, a number of elements are defined with respect to some non-existing reaction vessel. Absolutely no structure can be attributed to such a vessel, and hence no clear definition can be ascertained by reference to it. Conversely, where the vessel is positively recited as an element of the claim, as in instant claim 39, then the specification can be drawn upon as providing definition to the recited vessel. Instant claim 30, by its recitation of a thermal cycler "adapted to receive, at least on reaction vessel" and a detector operable to detect a fluorescence optical signal "without opening the at least one reaction vessel", is indefinite because the noted

Application/Control Number: 08/968,208

Art Unit: 1743

language defines structural language upon a term which cannot itself be ascribed any structural definition.

- 3. Claims 39, 40 and 44-47 are allowed.
- 4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Snay whose telephone number is (703) 308-4032. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-4037. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 1743

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jeffrey R. Snay Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

jrs November 4, 2002